ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬನಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದು ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಮರಿ, ಮಿಡ್ಲ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅನ್ಸಪೆ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸಹಾಯದ್ರವ್ಯ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಮರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಮಿಡ್ಲ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಡೊನೇಷನ್ ಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಆವುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಂಕ ಅಂಶಗಳು ತಲುಪಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬನಪ್ಪ.—ಕಳೆದ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಎಲ್ಲ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಈಗೇಕೆ information ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why do you repeat the question?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬನಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಸ್ಕೂಲು ಡೊನೇಷನ್ ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಿನವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು.

Removal of Raw Hide Godowns from Harijan Quarters in Kolar District.

Q.—195. Sri J. NARAYANAPPA (Chintamani—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of godowns containing raw hide in Harijan quarters in Kolar District;

(*b*) whether they have received any applications for removing them from those places;

(*c*) if so, whether the question of removing them from the said places is under their consideration?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Government have no information.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of (*b*) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂಥ ಗೋಡೌನ್‌ಗಳು ಹರಿಜನಕೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವುದು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿಯಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಯಿಸಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಟ್ಟು ದಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಗೋಡೌನ್‌ಗಳಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಗೋಡೌನ್ ಇದೆಯೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ information ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆವು. Your question is vague.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ.—ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲಾದರೂ ಹಾಗೆ ಇಡೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದರೆ ಆಥವಾ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಹರಿಜನಕೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಗೋಡೌನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಯಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇಂಥಿಂಥ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಗೋಡೌನ್‌ಗಳಿವೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ತೆಗೆಯಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Disposal of Lung-space near the tank at Lal-Bagh, Bangalore City, as sites.

Q.—236. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the original layout plan of Jayanagar Extension provided for a lung-space near the tank in Lal-Bagh, Bangalore City;

(*b*) whether the original plan is subsequently altered by converting the lunng-space into sites; if so, whether they will furnish information on the following points:—

(i) the authority that suggested the alteration of the original plan of the Trust Board;

(ii) the date on which the Trust Board decided to make recommendation to the Government for alteration of the original plan;

(iii) the date on which the Government received the recommendation;

(iv) the dates on which the Government approved the recommendation and conveyed the same to the Trust Board:

(v) the number of sites formed;

(*c*) whether the sites have been disposed of; if so, when and to whom; if any grantee is a Government servant, the office held by such a person at that time;

(*d*) whether any notification was issued as to the formation of sites and applications invited from intending purchasers; whether they will lay on

(Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.)

the table of the House the notification, if any, and all the applications ?

(*e*) whether the Trust Board actually formed the sites and laid out roads, etc., before disposing of the sites; if so, the expenditure incurred on several items of work ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) A playground had been provided.

(*b*) Yes.

(i) The original plan was altered when Government in their order dated 11th August 1948 allotted a site measuring 300'×300' in that plot for Hindi Prachara Samithi. The Trust Board inspected the area on 7th April 1952 and suggested the formation of a layout in the odd space left after providing the site for the Hindi Prachara Samithi.

(ii) The Trust Board approved the formation of sites at the meeting held on 19th March 1953.

(iii) 18th April 1953.

(iv) Government approved the recommendation on 28th April 1953, and orders were communicated on 29th April 1953.

(v) 22 sites were formed.

(*c*) 19 sites have been disposed of. Statement showing the names of allottees is appended.

(*d*) No notification was issued, as several applications were pending.

It is considered not necessary to place the applications on the Table of the House.

(*e*) Sites were demarcated before disposal. Roads are being formed. The estimated cost is Rs. 40,000 and the realisations expected is Rs. 1,19,454.

[*Vide* Reply to Clause (*c*).]

Allotment of sites made by the Board at its meeting held on 29th April 1953.

	Name		*Designation and Address*
	Sriyuts—		
1.	D. K. Srinivasachar	..	Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Bangalore.
2.	M. Srinivasacharlu	..	Imperial Chemical Industries, Sri Narasimharaja Road, Bangalore-2.
3.	K. Balasubramanyam	..	Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, Hassan.
4.	K. Seshagiri Rao	..	Special Officer for Bellary District, Carlton House, Bangalore.
5.	M. S. Shankar Rao	..	Deputy Commissioner, Chikmagalur District, Chikmagalur.
6.	G. Venkatakrishna Rao	..	Secretary to Government, Local Self-Government and Planning Departments, Bangalore.
7.	Sm. Veeramma	..	Care of Sri H. Siddiah, Speaker, Legislative Assembly, Bangalore.
8.	Dr. Gorur	..	Surgeon, Victoria Hospital, Bangalore-2. (Since refused).
9.	D. S. R. Rao	..	Engineer Officer, City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore.
10.	Dr. S P. Aniker	..	Bacteriologist, Public Health Institute, Bangalore.

Allotment of sites by the Board at its meeting held on 19th June 1953.

	Name	*Designation and Address*
	Sriyuts—	
1.	C. S. Ramaswamy Iyengar ..	Amildar, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore.
2.	M. H. Manchigiah ..	Engineer, Corporation of Bangalore, Bangalore (in exchange).
3.	Dr. K. Shadaksharappa ..	Assistant Surgeon in charge of Local Fund Dispensary, Davangere.
4.	B. G. Shanthappa ..	Care of Sri G. Gurubasappa, No. 5, New High School Road, Visveswarapuram, Bangalore-4.
5.	Dr. Rudriah ..	Care of Sri H. Siddiah, Speaker, Legislative Assembly, Bangalore-4.
6.	Dr. P. Krishna Rao ..	Superintendent, Victoria Hospital, Bangalore.
7.	K. R. Venkata Rao ..	Retired District Forest Officer, No. 11, East End Road, Basavangudi, Bangalore-4.
8.	M. N. Lakshman Rao ..	Retired Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.
9.	Dr. P. Krishna Murthy ..	Margosa Road, Malleswaram, Bangalore-3.

1 P.M.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What was the object in providing for a playground in the original plan?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—That is for school children to play.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What were the circumstances which made the Government to change its mind, Sir?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As the Hon'ble Member may be aware, out of the area referred to by him, an extent of 300×300 square feet of land was granted to Hindi Prachar Samithi. As for the rest of the area, it was considered necessary to lay it out into house building sites and dispose them of.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—My question was— the lung-space which was provided for in the original plan was replaced. What were the circumstances which made the Government change its mind to convert the playground into sites?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Another piece of land has been set apart as playground in Jayanagar Block. The original area has been formed into 22 sites by the Trust Board. Of these 19 have since been disposed of. It was thought necessary that this area could be formed into 22 sites. Accordingly the Trust Board recommended for the formation of sites and have already disposed of 19 sites.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know to which place the playground has been shifted?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I will give you the information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When so many other supplementary questions could be put, why ask as to where the playground has been shifted? Please put any other question.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I will give the information, Sir. The playground has been shifted to the Kankanpalya Road near the East End Road of Basavangudi.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—You say that a site has been granted to the Hindi Prachar Samiti. Did the Government not think that a lung-space should be maintained at or near the Hindi Prachar Samiti building?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There is already enough of lung-space. There is the large area covered by the Lalbagh and there are other open spaces also. It was therefore thought that it was unnecessary to have a lung-space or a playground in that area.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Was the playground not intended more as a lung-space between the old City and Jayanagar Extension ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes. It was originally for a playground. But later it was considered desirable to lay it out into house building sites and dispose them of as such.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, my question was, was not the playground intended for as lung-space between the existing City and Jayanagar Extension ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Originally, the entire area was kept as reserve for playground. Later, a big site measuring 300×300 feet was granted for Hindi Prachar Samiti. Some time afterwards, it was considered unnecessary to keep the remaining area vacant but to dispose it for house building purposes.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Are not sites disposed of by the Trust Board after laying out the roads and drains ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, generally.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, my question is—is it not an obligation on the part of the Trust Board to lay roads, drains, etc., and then dispose of sites ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—This is generally done; but not always. Sometimes, only roads are formed. Later on, drainage, water-supply, lighting and other amenities will be provided.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is there not a statutory obligation that the Trust Board should first provide all these ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—These amenities will be provided by the Trust Board.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—In this particular case, were the roads formed before the sites were disposed of ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—An answer has already been given for that question.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, what is the practice followed by the Trust Board in allotting sites ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—A notification calling for applications for the sites formed will be issued and published by the Trust Board. Applications pending with the Trust Board will also be considered along with the applications freshly received.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Were the public notified that the Trust Board had converted the playground into sites and asked them to apply ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—In this case there was no necessity to notify, because, there were already a number of applications for sites in that extension. The Trust Board therefore thought it was desirable to dispose of the pending applications first.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—On the date that the Trust Board recommended the formation of this playground into sites, what were the number of applications pending before them ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice please.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Why did not the Trust Board call for applications before disposing of the sites ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Trust Board did not call for fresh applications with regard to the sites in question because there were already applications for sites in that extension pending with them. The Trust Board recommended some of the applicants for grant of sites in that area.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Was this area earmarked for Government servants only or for other public as well ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There was no such stipulation. It was open for the public as well as Government servants to apply and get sites there.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I find from the allotment list given in the appendix that mostly or only Government servants have been granted sites. This is a very important place.

Why were not the public notified ? Why did not the Trust Board invite applications from intending purchasers ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Most of the applications that were pending in the Trust Board were from officials. There were applications from others also. All of them were considered by the Board.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ.—Non-Government servants ನಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಿದ್ದವು ಆ ದಿನ ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice please.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Were these the latest applications or were there any earlier applications ? I mean, were there any applications received earlier than these ? Were these applicants the earliest ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I want notice to answer that question.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Did they apply for these sites, or did they apply for any site, or did they apply for any particular site ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—They might have applied for the newly formed sites. I cannot answer that question off hand.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Originally it was provided as a lung-space. When it was converted into sites, did you notify : " Here are sites formed anew, those who are willing to take these sites which we have formed may apply." Did you do it ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Applications for sites in extensions formed are received by the Trust Board. Those applications will be placed before the Board who after careful consideration send up their recommendations to Government with regard to them. In this case the Trust Board thought that it was unnecessary to call for fresh applications, as sufficient number of applications was already pending with them for sites in that extension.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it the policy of Government to pick up any application or applications from the list pending and grant sites, or is there any particular policy with regard to the grant of sites to the poor or rich as the case may be ? Who were the earliest applicants ? Were there any poor people ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I want notice to answer those questions. There may be applications both from the poor people as well as from others.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What was the reason for selecting people of the status of Deputy Commissioners and above for the grant of these particular sites ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There were no special grounds. Their applications were considered along with others.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Am I to understand that the applicants used influence to get these lands ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No, Sir.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—May I know why they have not called for applications from civilians ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I have already replied to that question. Because certain applications were pending, the Trust Board considered those applications first.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Will the Hon'ble Minister give the names of the non-officials who applied for those sites ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is given in the appendix.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is simply stated that several applications were pending. No names have been given.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಹೀಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ವಿಚಿತ್ರವಿದೆ. ಅಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರೂ ಒಂದೇ ಕೋಮಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದವರೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—While granting sites, we do not discriminate between community and community.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as the seventh applicant is concerned, she is my wife. I did not know that she was an applicant. She had applied for a site in Vyalikaval Extension. That is subsequently changed and I do not know the circumstances. I came to know of it when the order of allotment was received. It is for

(Mr. SPEAKER.)

Rs. 5,000 and odd. I am not inclined to purchase it. My wife has already told me she will refuse it. That apart, these upset prices were fixed when the market prices were very high. There is a depression now and people are not coming forward. I am stating this subject to correction. Whatever it may be, the seventh applicant is not going to purchase. It looks from answer (*d*) that no notificatoin was issued and that several applications were pending. Under these particular circumstances, I am not inclined to purchase it even if it is given for a nominal price. The regular procedure does not seem to have been adopted. That is my opinion so far as the seventh applicant is concerned.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದುದು, ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹತ್ತು ಜನರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂಟು ಜನರೂ ಒಂದೇ ಕೋಮಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ನೀತಿ ಇದೆಯೆ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As already stated Government do not make any distinction between community and community in the disposal of sites.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು observe ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ, ಒಂದೇ ಕೋಮಿನವರಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿದುದು. ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. Yes or No ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸಾಕು. ನಾನು ಕೇಳುವುದೇ ಒಂದು. ಅವರು ಹೇಳುವುದೇ ಒಂದು. (ನಗು!)

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಗೊತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಮೇಲೆ ಏಕೆ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ, ನಿಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳುವುದು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any other question. It is obvious and it is answered in a way.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I may state like this for the satisfaction of the Hon'ble Member. Under the Constitution, we cannot make a distinction between community and community for disposing of sites. In fact, if we do so, it would be unconstitutional and anybody can challenge that decision. Therefore, it is the Constitution that has disabled us from doing anything of the kind.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—That is what exactly I am saying. ಒಂದೇ ಕಮ್ಯುನಿಟಿಗೆ ಡಿಸ್ಕ್ರಿಮಿನೇಷ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ನಾವು ಆ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು, ತಾವು ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂದು. ತಾವು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೌದು ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸಾಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಅವರು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದೂ, ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವುದೂ ಒಂದು ಕಾಕತಾಳೀಯ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಗಳೇ ಇದಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ಲೀಡರ್ ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I disallow that question.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is there any Government order which stated that Government officials and officers who have no house must be given preference in the grant of sites for houses in the City of Bangalore?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No such preference is being shown.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that, while disposing of sites in Wilson Garden, there was a Government order to the effect that Government officials and officers should be preferred?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I am not aware of any such order.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Are the Government aware that there is no demand for big sites in this area?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes. The rate fixed for big sites in Jayanagar Extension is Rs. 6 per square yard. Applications received for such sites are being dealt with by the Trust Board expeditiously. They know that delay in disposal of the sites would involve loss to Government as site values are showing a downward trend.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—I want to inform the Government that there is no demand for big sites for over Rs. 6.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, Sir.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—The reason why I am saying this is that these members have afforded to buy these sites at prices demanded by the Trust Board. There is no discrimination of any kind.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The object of putting this question, it seems to me, is that the legal procedure or formality is not strictly observed. That is all the point.

Supply of dresses to menial staff of Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals.

Q.—241. Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when the dresses to the Bowring and Lady Curzon Hospitals menial staff (*i.e.*, Ward Boys and Ayahs) and patients were supplied last;

(*b*) what is the new system, if any, that is now contemplated in distributing the same?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) For the menial staff in the month of December 1951 and for patients in April and June 1953.

(*b*) The new system followed during the year 1952-53 contemplates direct purchase of cloth from the mills, getting the linen stitched at the Medical Stores, Bangalore, after calling for quotations for stitching charges only and distributing them to the Institutions on their indents by limiting the quotations to the amounts that they have sent.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—When were the dresses supplied to the menial staff of this hospital and when were they due to be supplied?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The dresses were due last year. Dresses have already been supplied to Ayahs and Ward Boys. As regards patients, necessary supplies were made in April and June 1953.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Is it not a fact that Ayahs and Ward boys were supplied with dresses in the month of September 1952?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Superintendent of the Hospital did not send the indent for the excess amount. The delay is due to that. There has been delay in the purchase of cloth consequently. The Director of Medical Services will arrange for supply of dresses to the servants in time.

Sanitary Board and Municipality at Arsikere.

Q.—245. Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether there is a Sanitary Board and a municipality at Arsikere;

(*b*) what are the conditions to constitute a separate Sanitary Board?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Please see Section 160 (1) of the Village Panchayets and District Boards Act, 1952.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Where is the necessity for instituting a separate Sanitary Board for Arsikere when there is a municipality?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That has been answered on a previous occasion.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Is it the inherent right of the Government to appoint Vice-Chairman to this Sanitary Board?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is not a question of inherent right. The Amildar of Arsikere is now the Vice-Chairman of the Sanitary Board.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—What is the amount of grant that is granted to the Sanitary Board?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.